Fig. 8 (Pl. III.). Adult. The letters l s and cl represent the superior and inferior expansions of the cloaca, expansions separated at the level of the letter p, where the median portion (em) of the cloaca comes into contact with the pharyngeal sac. The line li represents the boundary of the lateral portion of the cloaca, and the line l m the boundary of its median part.

Fig. 9 (Pl. II.). Transverse section of the filament of the posterior extremity. cell, large granular cells contained in its interior; ec, transparent cells which form its superficial covering; fb, fibrons envelope; m, section of the muscles of the aperture, which are produced on the ventral side nearly to the extremity of the fila-

ment. × 150 diam.

Fig. 10 (Pl. III.). Transverse section of the endostyle. × 250 diam.
 Fig. 11. Transverse section of an Anchinia at a stage a little more advanced than fig. 7 and at the level of the stomach. × 30 diam.

II.—On the Mutual Relations of the Bunotherian Mammalia. By E. D. Cope*.

The name Bunotheria was proposed by me for a series of Mammalia which resemble in most technical characters the Edentata and the Rodentia. That is, they agree with these orders in having small, nearly smooth, cerebral hemispheres, which leave the olfactory lobes and cerebellum entirely exposed, and in some instances the hemispheres do not cover the mesencephalum also. From the two orders in question, however, they are easily distinguished. Their enamel-covered teeth separate them from the Edentata, while the articulation of the lower jaw is different from that found in the Rodentia. It is a transverse ginglymus with a postglenoid process in the Bunotheria, as distinguished from the longitudinal groove, permitting antero-posterior motion, of the Rodentia.

Such a group as is thus characterized will include two existing groups recognized as orders—the Prosimia and the Insectivora. The latter group has always been a crux to systematists; and when we consider the skeleton alone, as from the standpoint of the palaeontologist, the difficulty is not diminished. Various extinct types discovered in latter years, chiefly in the Eocene formations, have been additions to this intermediate series of forms, giving even closer relations with the orders already adjacent, i.e. the Edentata, the Rodentia, the Prosimiae, and the Carnivora. As is known, the groups corresponding to these orders have been named respectively

^{*} From the 'Proceedings of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia' for 1883, pp. 77-83.

the Tæniodonta, Tillodonta, Mesodonta, and Creodonta. With great apparent diversity, these suborders show unmistakable gradations into each other and the two recent orders already mentioned. As such I may mention Psittacotherium, which relates the Tæniodonta and Tillodonta, Esthonyx, which relates the Tillodonta with nearly all the other suborders, Achienodon, which connects Creodonta and Mesodonta, and Cynodontomys, which may be Mesodont or Prosimian. Then the existing Chiromys most certainly connects

Tillodonta and Prosimiæ.

My original definitions of the suborders of the Mesodonta, given in vol. ii. of the U.S. Geological Survey under Capt. G. M. Wheeler, p. 85, omitted the Prosimiæ, and embraced a number of characters whose significance must be reexamined. Thus it is impossible to characterize the Creodonta as lacking a trochlear groove of the astragalus, in view of the form of that element in *Mesonyx* and *Miochenus*, where the groove is more or less distinct. It is impossible to distinguish the Insectivora from the Creodonta by the deficiency of canine and large development of incisor teeth. In *Rhynchocyon* the canines are large and the superior incisors wanting, while in *Centetes* the arrangement of these teeth is precisely as in the Creodonta. As to the large *Achenodon* and other Arctocyonide, I find no characters whatever to distinguish them from

the generally small Mesodonta.

In view of these inconsistencies I have reexamined the subject, and find the following definitions to be more nearly coincident with the natural boundaries of the divisions of this large order. The importance of the character of the tritubercular superior molar has recently impressed me (see 'Proceedings of the Academy, 1883, p. 56), as it had previously done Prof. Gill. This zoologist has already distinguished two divisions of the Insectivora (without the Galeopithecidæ) by the forms of the superior molar teeth. The first possesses quadritubercular molars above, the second tritubercular. That these types represent important stages in the development of the molar dentition I have no doubt. These characters far outweigh in importance those expressing the forms of the skull, matters of proportion only, with which a few systematists unnecessarily overload their diagnoses. characters are of little more than specific value, and serve to obscure the mind of the inquirer for a true analysis. They may be used empirically, it is true, to determine relationships when the diagnostic parts are wanting.

I propose to transfer the Insectivora with tritubercular superior molars to the Creedonta, in spite of the fact that some of them (Mythomys, Solenodon, Chrysochloris) have but weakly developed canine teeth, and Chrysochloris has large incisors. As an extreme form Esthonyx will follow, standing next the Tillodonta. It will then be necessary to transfer the Arctocyonidæ and all the Mesodonta to the Insectivora, where they will find affinity with the Tupæidæ. These have well-developed canines and small incisors, as in the extinct groups named. The Chiromyidæ must be distinguished from all the other suborders, on account of its rodent-like incisors combined with its lemur-like feet.

The characters of the six suborders will then be as fol-

lows:-

I. Incisor teeth growing from persistent pulps.
Canines also growing from less persistent pulps,
agreeing with external incisors in having molariform crowns ... I. Taniodonta.

Canines rudimental or wanting; hallux not
opposable ... III. Daubentonioidea.

III. Incisor teeth not growing from persistent
pulps.
Superior true molars quadrituberculate; hallux
opposable ... IV. Prosimiæ.

Superior true molars quadrituberculate; hallux
not opposable ... V. Insectivora.

Superior true molars trituberculate or bituberculate *; hallux not opposable ... VI. Creodonta.

While the above scheme defines the groups exactly and, so far as can now be ascertained, naturally, I do not doubt that future research among the extinct forms will add much necessary information which we do not now possess. It is possible that the group I called Mesodonta may yet be distinguished from the Insectivora by characters yet unknown. But I cannot admit any affinity between this group and any form of "Pachyderms," as suggested by Filhol, or of Suillines, as believed by Lydekker†. Such suppositions are in direct opposition to what we know of the phylogeny of the Mammalia. These views are apparently suggested by the Bunodont type of teeth found in various Mesodonta; but that

† Memoirs Geological Survey of India, ser. x. 1883, p. 145.

^{*} The internal tubercle is wanting in the last two superior molars in Hyænodon. This genus, of which the osteology remains largely unknown, has been stated by Gervais to possess a brain of higher type than the Creodonta. Prof. Scott, of Princeton, however, is of the opinion that this determination is erroneous, and that Hyænodon is a true Creodont in this and other respects. If so, the genus will perhaps enter the Amblyctonidæ.

character gives little ground for systematic determination among Eocene Mammalia, and has deceived palæontologists from the days of Cuvier to the present time. The only connecting-point where there may be doubt as to the ungulate or unguiculate type of a mammal is the family Periptychidæ, of the suborder Condylarthra. The suborder Hyracoidea may furnish another index of convergence.

The families included in these suborders will be the fol-

lowing :—

Teniodonta. Calamodontide, Ectoganide.

TILLODONTA. Tillotheriidæ.

Daubentonioidea. Chiromyidae.

Prosimia. Tarsiida, (?) Anaptomorphida, (?) Mixodectida, Lemurida.

Insectivora. Soricide, Erinaceide, Macroscelide,

Tupwida, Adapida*, Arctocyonida.

CREODONTA. Talpidæ, Chrysochlorididæ, Esthonychidæ, Centetidæ (=Leptictidæ olim), Oxyænidæ, Miacidæ, Amblyctonidæ, Mesonychidæ.

I at one time called this order by the name Insectivora, a course which some zoologists may prefer. But a name should as nearly as possible adhere to a group to which it was first applied, and whose definition has become currently associated with it. Such an application is correct in fact, and is a material aid to the memory. There are various precedents for the adoption of a new general term for a group composed of subordinate divisions which have themselves already received names.

In order to determine the number of internal tubercles in some of the Insectivora, so as to ascertain the affinities of some questionable genera, it is first necessary to examine the homologies of the cusps of the molar teeth. The opossums are characterized by the presence of three longitudinal series of tubercles on the superior molar. The homologies of these cusps are rendered clear by the character presented by the fourth superior premolar, where the anterior intermediate is wanting. The external cusps are really such, and are not developed from a eingulum external to the true external cusps,

^{*} Two species of *Pelycolus* must be removed from this genus and family and be placed in the Creodonta with *Mioclænus*. They are the *P. pelvidens* and *P. angulatus*, which have the posterior inner tubercle of the superior molars a mere projection of the cingulum. I place them in a new genus, which differs from *Mioclænus* in the possession of an internal cusp of the fourth inferior premolar, under the name of *Chriucus*, type *C. pelvidens*.

as appears at first sight to be the case with such animals as the Talpidæ. The intermediate cusps are really such, although the posterior looks like the apex of a V-shaped external cusp. In *Peratherium* the external cusps are smaller than in *Didelphys*, and the intermediate V's so much better developed that the type is much like that of the Talpidæ, to whose

neighbhourhood I originally referred it.

This leads to a consideration of the question of the homologics of the cusps in the genera of the old order of Insectivora proper, and of the Creodonta. Mr. St. George Mivart has briefly discussed the question, so far as relates to the former group*. He commences with the primitive quadrituberculate type presented by Gymnura and Erinaceus, and believes that the external cusps occupy a successively more and more internal position till they come to be represented by the apices of well-developed V's, as in the ungulate types. The V's are well developed in several families; and in Chrysochloris the two V's are supposed to be united and to constitute almost the entire apex of the crown, while in Centetes the same kind of

V forms a still larger part of the crown.

I believe that these conclusions must be modified, in the light of the characters of various extinct genera and of the genus Didelphys. In the first place, there is an inherent improbability in the supposition that the external V's of the superior molars of the Insectivora have had the same origin as those of the Ungulata. The movements of the jaws in the two groups are different, the one being vertical, the other partially lateral. In the one, acute apices are demanded; in the other, grinding faces and edges. We have corresponding V's in the inferior dental series, and we regard those as produced by the connexion of alternating cusps by oblique ridges. In homologizing the superior cusps we have as elements two external, two intermediate, and two internal cusps. The first are opposite the external roots, and the anterior internal is opposite the internal root.

First, as regards Centetes and Chrysochloris. Besides the strained character of the hypothesis that supposes the V-shaped summit of the crown to represent two V's fused together, there is good evidence obtainable in support of the belief that the triangle in question is the usual one presented by the

Creodonta.

This clearly consists of the two external and the anterior internal cusps united by angular ridges. The form is quite the same as in *Leptictis* and *Ictops*, and nearly that of *Delta*-

^{*} Journal of Anatomy and Physiology, ii. p. 138, figures.

therium, where the external cusps are present. Centetes and Chrysochloris only differ from these in that the internal cusps are wanting. In addition, the latter genus presents a rudiment of the posterior inner tubercle, as is seen in Deltatherium. An explanation similar to this is admitted by Mr. Mivart to apply to the cusps of the inferior molar of Centetes. It remains to ascertain whether the cusp in this genus, Chrysochloris, &c.

represents an intermediate or not.

Secondly, as regards the Talpidæ and Soricidæ, where the external V's are well marked. If we examine the external cusps in the genus Didelphys, we find that the posterior one becomes gradually more anterior in its position, until on the second true molar it stands largely above the interspace between the roots instead of over the posterior root. It will also be seen that the anterior intermediate tubercle is distinct and of insignificant proportions, while the posterior intermediate is large and is related to the posterior external as is the apex of a V to its anterior base. In this arrangement I conceive that we have an explanation of the V's of the Talpida and Soricidæ. The first true molar of Scalops is a good deal like that of Didelphys; but the anterior cusp is larger and there is no anterior intermediate cusp, while the posterior external is of reduced size. The posterior V is better developed than in Didelphys but is composed, in the same way, of a posterior intermediate cusp, and a posterior external with a posterior heel. These are united by stronger ridges in Scalops, Condylura, and Blarina than in Didelphys. On the second true molar in Scalops a V represents the anterior external cusp of the first true molar. Whether this V has a constitution like the posterior one, i. e. is composed of external and intermediate cusps joined, is difficult to determine; but it is probably so constituted. It seems to be pretty clearly the case in Blarina, where the fourth premolar and first true molar may be compared, with a resulting demonstration of the correctness of this view. In Condylura the V's have become more developed and the external cusps reduced, so that the analysis is more difficult.

This interpretation applied to *Urotrichus* and *Galeopithecus* gives them quadrituberculate molars, not trituberculate, as determined by Mivart. *Mystomys* is tritubercular. The intermediate tubercles are present, but are imperfectly connected with the external, so that V's are not developed (*vide* figures of Mivart and Allman). This genus offers as much confirmation of the homology here proposed as do the opossums; but it differs from the latter in having the anterior intermediate tubercle the larger, instead of the posterior. *Mystomys* and

Solenodon also confirm my determination of the homologies in Centetes*.

In conclusion I give the following synoptic view of the constitution of the superior molar teeth in various genera of the Bunotheria.

Cusps present.					
External, intermediate, two internal.	External, no intermediate, two internal.		External, no interme- diate, one internal.	No external, no inter- mediate, two internal.	No external no interme- diate, one internal
1		Talpidæ. (Didelphys.)	Mesonyx. Leptictis. Stypolophus. Oxyæna. Chriacus. Deltatherium. Esthonyx. (2nd internal rudimentary).	Chrysochloris (2nd internal rudimentary). Solenodon (ditto).	

III.—On the Microscopic Structure of thin Slices of Fossil Calcispongia. By H. J. Carter, F.R.S. &c.

In the "P.S." which I hastily added to my last "Observations on the so-called 'Farringdon Sponges' (Calcispongiae, Zittel)," it is stated that Dr. Harvey B. Holl had kindly sent me four slides, testifying respectively to two facts, viz. 1st, that the spiculation of Verticillites anastomans was that of a Calcisponge, to me "precisely like and almost identical in size" with that of Grantia compressa; and 2nd, that it was confronted by a crust of pinlike spicules with their heads outwards; and at the conclusion, that I had not seen the latter in my specimens of Verticillites anastomans from Farringdon in Berkshire.

Wishing to confirm this, I obtained through Dr. Holl's kindness his entire specimen, and having made two or three

^{*} This view was first advanced by the writer in the Annual Report U.S. Geol. Surv. Terrs. 1873-74, p. 472.